

**The Minutes of a Planning Meeting of the Edington Parish Council held at The Parish Hall, Edington on Tuesday 16<sup>th</sup> July 2019 at 7.30 p.m.**

Present: Mesdames Greening, Watts, Pike, O'Donoghue and Dorgan and Messrs Pollard, Swabey, Lupton and King.

Apologies were received from Mr Johns.

A. The Meeting had been called to consider 2 applications namely:-

1. 19/03587/FUL proposed dwelling (amended design) at Plot adjacent to 15b Westbury Road from Bigglestone. This was returning to the original application but with the access reverting to going directly onto the road. Although amended plans had been submitted there was no amended Design and Access Statement which should have explained the reasons for no longer having the "direct and level access" under the original permission 18/06119/FUL and the subsequent permission under 18/01657/FUL. Under the present amendment there was now to be a 1 in 10 gradient leading directly onto the road along which, as the PC had said before, vehicles travelling in both directions did so frequently at a speed in excess of 30mph. In addition, the PC was concerned that the visibility splay to the east was not long enough. Although it was accepted that to the east the road curved outwards it immediately curved back with a section then of the road being obscured.

Again it was considered essential that the remaining roadside trees be retained.

It was noted that no reason has been given for the red edging on the site location plan at the entrance to Tudor Cottage.

The PC confirmed its view as to the visual aspect in its previous representation dated the 23 May 2019 and the taking of an east/west section.

2. 19/06212/FUL Replacement 2 storey dwelling in place of existing dormer bungalow at High Sands 5 Longlands Close for Mr and Mrs Parmley The PC opposed this application on the same basis of the previous application 18/09186/FUL.

Whilst it noted the applicants' observations it was still a very large building at the end of an established cul de sac of bungalows all of which, it was understood, had been built in accordance with an intention that only bungalows would be built, and for which presumably planning permission at the time had been granted on that basis. Not only would it be an extremely large building at a prominent and elevated position, it would also dominate its nearest neighbours to the east and south and be visually intrusive from the western quadrant. The PC agreed that its previous objections should be repeated together with the present observations and the PC took the view that the points raised by the Planning Officer in rejecting the previous application still applied. In addition, the PC had received a letter from the attorney of the owner of the adjoining property (endorsed by the owner of the adjoining owner of the bungalow to the east). Copies of these letters are attached to these Minutes. The PC was supportive of the sentiments expressed in relation to the carrying out of any development that was in the

event permitted. The privacy and quiet enjoyment of their properties should be respected as far as possible.

B. In addition 4 other matters were mentioned namely:-

1. It was noted that a Marquee had been erected in the grounds of The Priory for a Wedding reception in contravention of Planning conditions. It had been reported to WC
2. 4 Tinhead Road. It looked as though work was being done to the roof without seeking planning permission. That too had been referred to WC. In addition the PF access had been obstructed, the grass area alongside had been used to leave a digger and the track from Tinhead Road obstructed. It was agreed that a letter be written to the owner.
3. Bus Shelter rubbish bin. It was agreed that Mrs Dorgan and Mr Lupton would look at alternative position for it.
4. It was also agreed that the October meeting would be held on the 21st October.